


What is your opinion of the following proposal?

The area marked A on the map be moved from Hambleton parish to Thorpe Willoughby parish and the boundary line run along the bypass:



Please give reasons for your response:

Monies from any new estates should be going to the local parish and more importantly the school in the village where the houses are not the neighbouring village school which the children in all probability won't be attending. The school will be expected to take the extra pupils with no or little financial support?

Both of these areas (A and B) are remote from the population centres of Gateforth and Hambleton yet housing built and proposed housing will benefit these parishes in monetary terms. Residents of these areas and any future developments will use Thorpe Willoughby's local parish public services without contributing to the cost. In addition children from these developments do and will use our school and doctors etc. The other reason is that it's obvious that the A63 bypass is a (un)natural boundary

If Planning for housing is going to affect the schools and services in Thorpe Willoughby then TW should have oversight of that not Hambleton

Currently the new housing estate use all the facilities in Thorpe Willoughby ie roads, footpaths, school etc but the money goes to other parishes not Thorpe Willoughby

The bypass now cuts through the boundary and should be moved as all vehicles pass through our village there is no direct access to hambleton

The redrawing of the boundary appears to makes sense as any housing built on the proposed areas would materially be part of the village of Thorpe Willoughby

The areas highlighted can only accessed through Thorpe Willoughby. Therefore the residents that live in these areas are using services such as roads, the primary school, local amenities and possibly the very small doctors surgery in Thorpe Willoughby. To pay tax to a different village seems ludicrous. The parishes were set up before the Selby bypass was built. This road has now been in operation for decades and the parish divide is no longer correct.

It makes sense for the boundary to be whete the division of the railway line is. Especially if Hambleton have a say over future development of that land as it wull likely be Thorpe Willoughby facilities they will use.

It seems logical that any housing development on this land which is located in an area separated from Hambleton by the A1236 and the A63 roads, and would be adjacent to existing housing within Thorpe Willoughby, be considered part of Thorpe Willoughby. It follows that any CIL and/or S106 monies would benefit the residents of Thorpe Willoughby and not an adjacent parish.

It is unfair and unreasonable that the precept for dwellings located in the Village of Thorpe Willoughby go to Hambleton Parish who make no contribution to the upkeep or maintenance of Thorpe Willoughby

I support the proposed parish boundary adjustment because the new housing, while currently in Hambleton parish, directly affects Thorpe Willoughby's community infrastructure. Specifically: Schools, Roads and Traffic, Local Services and Amenities, Fair allocation of CIL & S106 funding (if not already allocated). The boundary adjustment is a practical & fair response to the pressures the new development places on Thorpe Willoughby ensuring the parish can maintain the above.

It's logical and takes into account a (man-made) obvious border

The by-pass appears to be the logical border between the two villages.

Geographically and physically part of Thorpe Willoughby, no reason for the area not to Ben moved

Please give reasons for your response:

More development on these sites will generate more traffic in Thorpe Willoughby where all the every day facilities are. It makes sense htherefore that the sites A and B should be in Thorpe Willoughby.

Makes sense to have houses belonging to the same parish. Builds a greater sense of community in my opinion.

It makes sense. People living in these areas will primarily use the facilities of Thorpe Willoughby and will feel that Thorpe Willoughby is there home. I imagine they will have a Thorpe Willoughby postal address.

Inhabitants of Area A have expressed their desire to remain in Hambleton Parish which is where they and their families have considered home for many years and generations. Even though the bypass has cut off their direct access to Hambleton requiring them to travel through Thorpe Willoughby they maintain their inclusion to Hambleton Parish. I strongly believe therefore that Area A should remain in Hambleton Parish.

The village boundaries are a part of history which should be retained. The introduction of road and rail in modern times are not a reason to change it. When this was raised on previous occasions the residents involved at that time expressed a wish to remain in Hambleton.

Since the Selby By Pass was completed and the North East Railway line runs between Thorpe Willoughby and Hambleton any new development east of these will have a Thorpe Willoughby address and it makes sense that the proposal to amend the boundary.

Thorpe Willoughby needs the support and funding for more facilities

The boundary needs to reflect the reality of the modern day area of Thorpe Willoughby

Outdated existing boundary , doesn't reflect village residents needs and infrastructure.

The area marked A on map, is within the geographical area of Thorpe Willoughby village , roads and local amenities will be used by the residents of area A , they will be physically integrated into Thorpe Willoughby , this should be formally recognised at parish council boundary level. Relatively recent road building, that is the by- pass marks a sensible boundary. At present the boundary is outdated.

Access through Thorpe Willoughby, using amenities in TW. More than likely going to school in TW.

It is ridiculous that housing having an impact on Thorpe Willoughby is allowed to benefit Hambleton of which it is no where near

They are part of our village

It's common sense

The housing in the designated area falls in the village of Thorpe Willoughby, whereby the residents take full advantage of the infrastructure & amenities of Thorpe Willoughby & don't contribute towards this with the current boundaries in place

it would make sense for it to follow the boundary of the bypass

These areas can only be reached by going through the village. People living there will use village facilities so the mo ey should go to the village.

Thorpe Willoughby should benefit from any income new homes generate

Thorpe has undergone substantial expansion in recent years, with many of these properties sitting in other parishes. These properties will use the infrastructure and amenities that sit within Thorpe Willoughby, but the parish council will receive no funding towards these amenities. There are also more developments planned that will further pressure on the village amenities for which the parish council will receive no funding. This cannot continue under the current boundaries.

Bypass is a natural boundary. Precepts from houses using Thorpes facilities go to adjoining parishes.

Outdated boundary.

It is an outdated boundary and these houses are clearly in Thorpe Willoughby and use the amenities

This affects my property and I have had no correspondence from either Parish council on how the boundary move impacts my property. Some communication from the Parish Council would have been beneficial.

Please give reasons for your response:

All the houses built in recent years are in the village itself. The new owners all use the roads, shops, schools in the village. I am totally shocked that monies are then given to other parishes that they supposedly fall under when they have to enter and drive into the villages to get to their homes. The people living in the new houses and proposed houses do not and will not use a single road to access their house. They do not use the schools, shops or other infrastructure in the other parishes

It's fair and accurately reflects the actual situation. Thorpe Willoughby should be funded for all of its residents.

Thorpe willoughby needs funding

Hundreds of new houses are being built on land physically within the village and yet Thorpe Willoughby council don't currently benefit from the council taxes paid by the residents. A boundary change would mean Thorpe Willoughby parish council would benefit

I live in the area marked A. Thorpe willoughby is my village/area as it is where I use local facilities, it is the area I drive through to access my home, and my address is 'Thorpe Willoughby' so it only makes sense that my home be in the parish of the village I live in.

Area A is physically within Thorpe Willoughby, with the sports association even being named after Thorpe Willoughby instead of Hambleton.

Physically it is part of Thorpe Willoughby village and borders other houses in Thorpe Willoughby. Hambleton is generally considered over the other side of the bypass and roundabout

The dwellings built or proposed to be built are using TW village amenities, therefore precept should be in TW

The residents in these properties will use and belong to Thorpe Willoughby village, it is only right that a proportion of the council tax goes to the parish they live in (Thorpe Willoughby)

The residents use Thorpe Willoughby facilities, i.e the school, shops and roads to leave their houses therefore Thorpe Willoughby PC should receive their precepts.

If the new residents are going to be using Thorpe Willoughby services, their Parish Precept should be paid to Thorpe Willoughby, so it makes sense to move this boundary. The original boundary was probably introduced before the bypass was built and followed the rear gardens of the houses on Dane Avenue, or whatever field boundary was there at that time, so there was no other suitable alternative location for the boundary. This now needs updating.

Because the proposed new development will be classed as Thorpe Willoughby village but any monies will go to Hambleton parish so we as Thorpe Willoughby will not benefit from the new development.

It naturally fits in our village community as we live here now the bypass is in reality our 'boundary' for our community. The children will go to school in our village & families use our shops & chemist & enjoy the park & TW war memorial & village fetes etc

I don't like Hambleton 😞

I agree people in Thorpe Willoughby are supporting the facilities of the village and are contributing to our parish, not others.

The village amenities will be used by any new builds in the vicinity and there will need to be investment to meet demand which won't be available if it goes to Hambleton

Such that the council tax paid by residents JM the new development actually benefits the area for which amenities will be shared.

The proposed housing developments are clearly in Thorpe Willoughby.

Monies raised need to support infrastructure and facilities of Thorpe Willoughby

The expansion of housing in section A has resulted in individuals utilising the infrastructure of Thorpe Willoughby but the cost of providing these is not currently supported by the Parish precept, with this being paid to Hambleton. It's unjust that residents are supporting expansion whilst other Parish councils are receiving money which is not supporting the new residents living in these new build properties.

Please give reasons for your response:

As this area is part of Thorpe Willoughby all monies, taxes, rates etc should come to Thorpe Willoughby parish council and not Hambleton.

Area A has been part of Hambleton Parish for many decades. The Parish council relies on any revenue from this area to provide the necessary services for the community. The loss of this revenue will create a major issue to maintain existing services such as the Cemetery. It is unclear why Thorpe Willoughby suddenly requires this revenue at the expense of existing services Hambleton funds appears to be unnecessary

To allow Thorpe Willoughby to receive the council tax payed by these residents to support the upkeep of Thorpe Willoughby

i didnot support the privous atemped to alter ths parish boundary

I did not support the previous try to have the boundry line changed

To fully integrate the areas that are Thorpe W into the existing Thorpe W.

Fairness of residents dispersants regarding regional expenditure and facilities usage.

Natural boundary of the bypass. Houses builtvin Thorpe Willoughby use village shops, school etc but pay precepts to Hambleton.

Makes it fairer for Thorpe Willoughby village and residents

I think it's sensible to move the boundary to the bypass. As the houses in the area marked A use the facilities within Thorpe Willoughby.

The bypass makes it a sensible boundary for the properties in Thorpe Willoughby.

The housing development on field lane falls under hambleton PC but uses Thorpe Resources, roads,schools and park areas. The potentially newly approved housing estates will also fall into the same category. It does not make sense for the PC of Thorpe Willoughby to pick up costs but not the precept.

common sense - the bypass is more the natural boundary now.

Don't believe there's a benefit to either parish - seems an unnecessary process, boundary has been in place for years. This appears to have been suggested after planning approval on this land for more houses. People could buy these houses, thinking they are in Hambleton, and then boundary changes. Not fair on the owner. People in this area will pay higher council tax and could affect the school their kids go to. Don't believe another parish can ask for land from another - seems unfair.

There is no reason to use the bypass as a convenient boundary. Hambleton parish had no say in where the bypass would run so it should not be used as a reason to change the parish boundary. This is a land grab to enable Thorpe Willoughby to gain precept income from any future property development at Hambletons expense.In addition any new residents on this land would be financially worse off due to the lower council tax paid inn Hambleton.

We have been residents of Hambleton for over 40 years and do not see any benefits of changing boundaries. The old saying of if it's not broken don't fix it comes to mind.

Why change? Is it for the council tax for new houses for Thorpe Willoughby?

The area has no access to Gateforth except through Thorpe Willoughby, if any development takes place the village impacted will be Thorpe Willoughby. As such at the latest meeting, Gateforth Parish Council has resolved to accept the proposal that the parcel of land marked B on the map is transferred to the control of Thorpe Willoughby Parish Council.

I live in Hambleton. If I wanted to be in Thorpe Willoughby I would have bought a house there. I want to be able to be buried in the Hambleton graveyard having lived in Hambleton for over 40 years and plan to stay here. It's just greed

This land can only be accessed through the village so it must become part of Thorpe Willoughby.

The boundaries are out of date. Building sites and planning need to be covered by the parish affected

Please give reasons for your response:

See attached sheet for full reasons. Key points: - Not raised by TWPC and/or residents. Raised by district councillors - Previously denied - reasons for the refusal not changed - This is a 'land grab' to gain precept as 145 homes are approved on this - Unnecessary change - no benefit to either PC residents - Hambleton residents won't be able to be buried in our cemetery - Residents in 'Thorpe Willoughby' will pay higher precept - Residents will then be in a smokeless zone and have to pay to adapt their property to comply - Boundary has been in place since 1700's - doesn't have to go to a neat line of the bypass - This is just a review request to gain income

We do not wish to live in Thorpe Willoughby Parish. If we had wanted to do so we would have bought a house in Thorpe Willoughby. We choose to continue living in Hambleton Parish.

Having talked with other residents of the village, the moving of boundaries which have been set since the 1700's feels distinctly unreasonable. All Villages are subject to increases in the populations; and the accommodation required for this growth will continue, the arbitrary position of the roads in the area is not, in my mind, a strong enough reason to warrant a transfer of of precept to an adjacent PC. Particularly as the residents effected will incur higher PC precept charge. So no, the movement of historical boundaries do not register as a wise and needy move in my mind.

Hambleton should not have its land taken by a neighbouring village.

I have a multifuel stove which is used regularly, and would become useless with a move to a smoke control area. The boundary has been in existence for years and has been challenged before and declined. Our council tax would increase and as we are on a fixed income due to illness and retirement it would make our finances stretched.

I can see no reason to change boundaries that have been in place for centuries. I feel the only reason to maybe change would be if the people who live in the houses concerned unanimously wanted to be part of Thorpe Willoughby. It should be unanimous and not majority also.

Almost from time immemorial the identified land has been part of Hambleton and on previous occasions the majority vote, when asked, has to be remaining as such. Why, as the only parish in CGR currently wanting a "land grab". I suggest this action is purely fiscal. Residents moving would pay higher precept, lose the right to burial in Hambleton cemetery and move to a smoke control area causing possible financial loss.

Residents in the Hambleton Parish that are affected do not want to change to Thorpe Willoughby Parish as they have already been asked this question previously and rejected the move.

It seems totally unnecessary, and if the parish precept increase is the real reason for the 'land grab' then Hambleton, already poorly serviced, will lose out.

It makes more sense so far as resources and infrastructure

Please give reasons for your response:

This organisation has the following objections to the proposal and how it affects the area marked A on the map: 1. Residents currently living in area A, who will be affected by the change, have expressed objections to the change directly to this organisation. It has taken these objections into account and has encouraged the residents to complete a survey. To summarise the residents' concerns: Some rely on a heating source in their home that is not allowed in the Thorpe Willoughby Parish (Smoke Control Area). There was a concern that the precept payment is 50% higher in Thorpe Willoughby than Hambleton. Rights to burial in Hambleton Cemetery is exclusive to residents of Hambleton only. This organisation believes these are all valid reasons to object to the CGR and submits these along with its own reasons. 2. The change of boundary would only benefit Thorpe Willoughby Parish Council (TWPC) - Hambleton Parish Council (HPC) will lose existing precept income which it relies on and it would also lose out on income from potential future developments on the land in Area A. Future residents on such developments may use shared facilities including both village primary schools, doctor's surgery and highways but these are not a financial burden on TWPC precept and both PCs maintain large play areas and woodland, but HPC is also responsible for maintaining its recreational playing fields (used by the local junior football team as a base) and a burial ground. 3. This is the only CGR in which a PC is looking to take land from other parishes - other CGRs seek to join forces for practical reasons and to ensure good governance, not financial gain. 4. There is no reason this historical boundary needs to be moved to line up with a modern road. Parish boundaries do not follow paths and roads, nor do they need to. 5. TWPC has made this request before, and it was rejected. This organisation sees no reason the outcome should be any different this time around.

1. Hambleton residents pay lower precept rates (council tax) and are eligible to be buried in the Hambleton Cemetery - if the boundary changes, those affected will lose those benefits of being a Hambleton resident 2. Affected properties would be in a Smoke Control Area and a number of residents heat their properties with woodburning/multifuel burners 3. It was felt the only reason a change was requested is so the potential precept income from housing developments in the 2 areas would go to Thorpe Willoughby instead of Hambleton or Gateforth 4. Hambleton would lose precept income because the number of households in the parish would be reduced 5. This is the only CR where the initiating parish is proposing taking land from neighbouring parishes 6. A new road should not dictate a parish boundary. The by-pass proposed as the new boundary line was not something that Hambleton had control over or benefitted from; Hambleton would lose out again

This change is long overdue in our opinion. The boundary for sometime has not really reflected the growing village of Thorpe Willoughby and has segregated residents who by boundary are classed as residents of Hambleton but by postcode are living in Thorpe Willoughby. These residents feel excluded from both parishes so - out on a limb as it was. This is now even more noticeable as the new houses have been built (which more to come) so more residents will fall into this 'excluded category'.

See attached letter

Historic boundaries shouldn't be changed. NO proposals for the use of additional precept by Thorpe Willoughby. No benefit to households of contested areas but loss of cemetery. Will pay increased precept.

We as the Parish Council of Hambleton paid for a survey of the residents many years ago and it was unanimous that the resident DID NOT want to go in Thorpe. I have done a brief survey on those and new residents and they have said that they do not want to go into Thorpe. They say in these economic times residents of Thorpe pay almost 50% more residents in Hambleton (which they currently). Also they can be buried in Hambleton cemetery (Nearby). Surely the view of the residents should be the overriding issue.

Hambleton would lose precept. We would lose historic boundary.

I object to Hambleton losing precept income if the boundary line moves as suggested. Residents in the affected properties would lose out on e.g. burial rights in Hambleton cemetery, inability to use multi fuel burners. We would lose a historic boundary as I understand it, and I feel strongly it should remain.

I believe the historic boundary of the Parish of Hambleton should remain intact. This boundary existed before the bypass was built and therefore should remain unchanged.

It would not benefit Hambleton and Thorpe Willoughby has already benefitted from CIL and S106 monies from the additional housing already built in that parish.


Please give reasons for your response:

The boundaries around each Parish were established hundreds of years ago and should not be changed by a request from one Parish without agreement from the adjacent Parish concerned, unless there are very good reasons that it would benefit both Parishes. I do not believe Thorpe Willoughby Parish Council has provided one good reason to support their request. This proposal would benefit only one Parish to the detriment of the other. The present tenants of Hambleton who live within the area stated would end up paying more precept through their Council Tax and lose benefits that Thorpe Willoughby do not provide for their residents. Other residents would possibly have the additional costs of changing their type of heating systems, after being placed within a smokless zone area which Thorpe Willoughby is in. Hambleton Parish Council would lose precept payments from the present housing within the area, which would be transferred to Thorpe Willoughby Parish Council. Hambleton Parish Council would have to forfeit any long term, future precept payments that would be available should any land within the proposed area become available for house building. Thorpe Willoughby Parish would gain financially now and in the future through increased precept receipts but would provide no additional amenities that Hambleton can already provide.

Please see attached documents for observations and comments regarding this proposal.

What is your opinion of the following proposal?

The area marked B on the map be moved from Gateforth parish to Thorpe Willoughby parish and the boundary line run along the bypass:



Please give reasons for your response:

If in the future homes are to be built on this land, any funding should be directed to Thorpe Willoughby as it will be this village that has to support the people living there.

It can only be accessed via Thorpe Willoughby and is therefore in Thorpe Willoughby

Again, the most logical outcome of those proffered.

Thorpe Willoughby is a thriving village and should be managed by Thorpe Willoughby parish council not gateforth

This makes sense because any further development of this land will affect Thorpe Willoughby more than Gateforth,

This area is 500 meters from my home, which is in thorpe Willoughby. Gateforth is miles away.

Thorpe Willoughby Parish Council should receive the funding for housing built in the village. The bypass is a natural boundary line.

Houses in area B will consume facilities from Thorpe Willoughby rather than Hambleton so funds should be allocated accordingly

The bypass has created a new natural boundary and it makes logical sense for this to be included in Thorpe Willoughby as its now an unnatural separation.

Same as before. This is complete waste of taxpayer money. Parish councils are not a good use of funds. The service provided does not match the cost to residents.

Were any planning be granted, the residents would be de facto members of Thorpe Willoughby as they cannot get to Gateforth without travelling, but can walk easily to amenities within TW.

As stated in my previous response.

Please give reasons for your response:

I support the proposal because the new housing in Thorpe Willoughby adds directly to the existing community in Thorpe Willoughby, a few miles from Gateforth village itself.

makes common sense

The same as given for area marked A

Makes most sense.

As my previous reply said the school in Thorpe Willoughby should be getting the financial support plus why should another village have say over matters when any planning proposals for example do not impact them directly to the point they might support a planning application to Thorpe Willoughby knowing it might take some pressure off of them? This should have been sorted years ago not now when planning applications are being put through particularly on precious farm land.

See previous comments

If Planning for housing is going to affect the schools and services in Thorpe Willoughby then TW should have oversight of that not Gateforth

Thorpe Willoughby pc maintains the verges etc, if permission is eventually given to build, those houses would use Thorpe Willoughby amenities

The boundary should be changed to take in account of all access to the land is vis fox lane Thorpe Willoughby

As before. Houses built on this land would materially be Thorpe Willoughby and would benefit from services and amenities in the village

As before...it just makes more sense as the railway line dissects this area making it more accessible from Thorpe.

This area is separated from Gateforth by the A63 bypass to the south west and by Field Lane to the north. The border to the north is directly opposite residential properties within Thorpe Willoughby parish. It follows that any planning proposals within this area should be considered by Thorpe Willoughby parish council and not a neighbouring authority.

The boundary of the village of Thorpe Willoughby should be amended to reflect the natural road way running alongside the village and by changing this boundary any future development would then mean the precept goes to the correct village who provide the services.

Same reasoning as for adjustment A

Same as A

As before

Does not affect my parish (Hambleton) but geographically and physically part of Thorpe Willoughby, no reason for the area not to Ben moved

My response is as stated before. Development in the future will generate more traffic in Thorpe Willoughby. It seems logical that the site B is in Thorpe Willoughby.

Makes sense to have houses belonging to the same parish. Builds a greater sense of community in my opinion.

I believe that Area B should remain Green Belt.

Another historic boundary.

The land is a long way from Gateforth Village and any development on area B will have a Thorpe Willoughby address and use the facilities of Thorpe Willoughby. i.e. 2 Local food stores, 1 Pharmacy, 1 Fish and Chip Shop, 1 Hairdresser, 1 Public House and one Café. Gateforth have none of these.

The boundary needs to reflect the modern reality of life in Thorpe Willoughby

Outdated bondary

Please give reasons for your response:

As already said for area A, area B is both geographically and physically within the folds of Thorpe Willoughby village. Residents of those proposed housing area will have post code and address of Thorpe Willoughby, therefore the boundary must move to show ownership of that. At present the boundaries is outdated.

Using access through Thorpe Willoughby, school and amenities from Thorpe Willoughby.

The village in which the housing sits should have the benefit

Its closer to thorpe Willoughby than gateforth

It's common sense

The new properties will fall in the Thorpe Willoughby village where new residents would use the amenities & infrastructure of the village without contributing towards them with the present boundaries in place

it would make sense for the boundary to follow the bypass

These areas can only be accessed through the village so should be included in the parish boundary. People living there will use village facilities

Thorpe Willoughby should benefit from any income new homes generate

As per my previous response.

Outdated boundary.

This doesn't impact me or my property so whatever the council decide is up to them.

Same again. The people on the bodies recently built and the new ones proposed. They do NOT use the parishes road they fall into to access them. It's totally ridiculous that other parishes are getting money for houses built inside the infrastructure of the village if Thorpe Willoughby. They are no where near Slav easing roads of gateforth because. We are the other side of the bypass. Again Thorpe is becoming overcrowded and the fact the monies from these homes are going elsewhere is ridiculous

This is fair and accurately reflects the actual situation. Thorpe Willoughby should be funded for all of its residents.

Thorpe willoughby needs funding

As per my previous response; a boundary change means Thorpe Willoughby council can benefit from the taxes paid by the homeowners of all the new properties that are physically being built on land in the village.

It's only accessible by entering Thorpe Willoughby, and all the local amenities for that area would be in Thorpe Willoughby.

Area B is physically in Thorpe Willoughby, with it being actually quite far from Gateforth - this land would be better off being in the Thorpe Willoughby Parish especially if there are plans to build houses on this land.

Physically it is part of Thorpe Willoughby village and borders other houses in Thorpe Willoughby. Gateforth is over the other side of the bypass and will be 10+ minutes drive away from any of these houses whereas Thorpe Willoughby is immediately on the doorstep

All residents living in the area use TW amenities

The residents in these properties will use and belong to Thorpe Willoughby village, it is only right that a proportion of the council tax goes to the parish they live in (Thorpe Willoughby)

The residents use Thorpe Willoughby facilities, I.e the school, shops and roads to leave their houses therefore Thorpe Willoughby PC should receive their precepts.

If the new residents are going to be using Thorpe Willoughby services, their Parish Precept should be paid to Thorpe Willoughby, so it makes sense to move this boundary. The original boundary was probably introduced before the bypass was built and followed Field Lane, so there was no other suitable alternative location for the boundary. This now needs updating.

Sane reason as before, Thorpe Willoughby has a very small boundary for the number of houses and other parishes should not benefit financially but it's our amenities that will be stretched.

Please give reasons for your response:

This area is also part of our village community and runs along a very popular part of the village opposite the cafe, shops , hair dressers & post box ! Any one who might live in houses here in the future are very much pointing in the direction of our village & be part of our community as the bypass had become a natural boundary in reality in the way that we live in our parish

Come join us!

I agree people in Thorpe Willoughby are supporting the facilities of the village and are contributing to our parish, not others.

Financial support for the local community to be able to support demand for the amenities that are available in Thorpe

Such that the council tax paid by residents JM the new development actually benefits the area for which amenities will be shared

Area b is clearly in Thorpe Willoughby.

The very small village of Gateforth does not support an influx of people. Thorpe Willoughby will be the source of what is needed by new residents

The expansion of housing in section A has resulted in individuals utilising the infrastructure of Thorpe Willoughby but the cost of providing these is not currently supported by the Parish precept, with this being paid to Hambleton. It's unjust that residents are supporting expansion whilst other Parish councils are receiving money which is not supporting the new residents living in these new build properties, particularly as access will only be available through Thorpe Willoughby.

This area is part of Thorpe Willoughby not Gateforth therefore all monies, taxes, rates etc should come to Thorpe Willoughby parish council.

Area B has been part of Hambleton for many decades. It is unclear what has changed for Thorpe Willoughby PC to suddenly require any income from this area at the expense of services provided by Hambleton PC who have received and budgeted for any income from this area for many years. A boundary change will have a negative impact on the Hambleton community and it seems unnecessary.

To allow Thorpe Willoughby to receive the council tax paid by these residents to support the upkeep of Thorpe Willoughby

I did not support the previous try to change the boundary line

Same reason as previously stated. These areas are already Thorpe and need to be recognised as such

Yet again should any planning be granted for houses in the area marked B they will use the facilities of Thorpe Willoughby.

All properties in the area marked B should be able to use the facilities within Thorpe Willoughby

This would simplify future infrastructure and building planning by consolidation of the area into an appropriate council body.

see above.

Reasons are the same as above. Why is this necessary? Isn't there more important things to spend money on? Don't see any benefit except Thorpe Willoughby PC getting bigger and gaining more money from these homes. Villages change all the time - if you approve this then any parish can request this from their neighbour.

See previous comment

What next use the railway line as a boundary? Who pays the cost of a new address / house / business details?

Resolved by the parish council at the last meeting

As previously stated

This land can only be accessed through the village so it must become part of Thorpe Willoughby

As before

Please give reasons for your response:

Same reasons as above. All points apply to both areas of the review.

We do not agree to having to pay increased precept rates if the boundary changes. We do not want to lose any benefits of living in Hambleton, eg being buried in Hambleton cemetery.

Having talked with other residents of the village, the moving of boundaries which have been set since the 1700's feels distinctly unreasonable. All Villages are subject to increases in the populations; and the accommodation required for this growth will continue, the arbitrary position of the roads in the area is not, in my mind, a strong enough reason to warrant a transfer of of precept to an adjacent PC. Particularly as the residents effected will incur higher PC precept charge. So no, the movement of historical boundaries do not register as a wise and needy move in my mind.

The boundary line follows the road which doesn't affect any other boundaries. Please refer to the first proposals for the rest of my reasons.

As above

For the same reason as the Hambleton response - totally unnecessary.

1. The change of boundary would only benefit Thorpe Willoughby Parish Council - Gateforth PC would lose out on income from potential future developments on the land in Area B. 2. This is the only CGR in which a PC is looking to take land from other parishes - other CGRs seek to join forces for practical reasons and to ensure good governance. Changing a boundary for any other reason, especially one that seems to be financially motivated, should not be allowed and it would set a precedent to allow any parish to be able to claim land from another. 3. There is no reason this historical boundary needs to be moved to line up with a modern road. Parish boundaries do not follow paths and roads, nor do they need to.

1. Hambleton residents pay lower precept rates (council tax) and are eligible to be buried in the Hambleton Cemetery - if the boundary changes, those affected will lose those benefits of being a Hambleton resident 2. Affected properties would be in a Smoke Control Area and a number of residents heat their properties with woodburning/multifuel burners 3. It was felt the only reason a change was requested is so the potential precept income from housing developments in the 2 areas would go to Thorpe Willoughby instead of Hambleton or Gateforth 4. Hambleton would lose precept income because the number of households in the parish would be reduced 5. This is the only CR where the initiating parish is proposing taking land from neighbouring parishes 6. A new road should not dictate a parish boundary. The by-pass proposed as the new boundary line was not something that Hambleton had control over or benefitted from; Hambleton would lose out again

This change is also more needed now that ever before as the Secretary of State has just approved 110 houses to be built on land belonging to Gateforth Parish that is situated in Thorpe Willoughby. When built, these residents will most definitely not feel part of Gateforth Parish as it is 4 miles away from the actual site. There will be no community spirit with their Parish so they will feel very disconnected. Also it is important to note that in the village of Gateforth there are less than 100 households so these 110 houses when built will mean that it splits their village in half with a huge 4 mile divide between them which seems very disjointed.

See attached letter

Historic Boundary

Just because a by pass has been built does not mean that all boundaries should now follow the new by pass. We live in a democracy and surely the views of the residents should be taken into account.

Loss of historic boundary.

Loss of historic boundary as above.

I believe the historic boundary of the Parish of Hambleton should remain intact. This boundary existed before the bypass was built and therefore should remain unchanged.

It would not benefit Hambleton and Thorpe Willoughby has already benefitted from CIL and S106 monies from the additional housing already built in that parish.

Is there anything further you would like to add about this community governance review?

The boundary change can only be a good thing for the people of the TW village as it will mean that they have a sense of community for where they actually live rather than a village that is either 1 mile or 4 miles away. The current boundary is out of date and it would be more natural to use the edge of the bypass as a cut off point.

its long overdue in my opinion. There are already houses that are on Field lane past the sports club that are not officially part of the village by boundary and then another 80 were built on the old pig breeding site that are all in the same boat, all of these houses and villagers 'belong' to Hambleton by boundary but have a Thorpe Willoughby postcode and utilise all of TW's infrastructure yet don't contribute as such. Because of the boundary they also don't receive things like the village news broadsheet so I'm sure these residents feel disconnected from the village they should be part of. Ironically TW Sports Club which is on TW Parish Council owned land is also not in Thorpe Willoughby by boundary - how dis jointed is that!! This situation will be mirrored if the Sherwood brother land appeal is successful as those houses will be in Gateforth Parish but have a TW postcode and the new houses approved South of Leeds road are part of Hambleton but have a TW postcode. This boundary really needs changing to reflect the growing village.

The changes would enhance community spirit and make the residents of Thorpe Willoughby less opposed to development in these areas.

No

I fully support the proposal from Thorpe Willoughby Parish Council to move the parish boundary to the bypass which is a logical approach to have property and premises come under the Parish Council that serves them.

No I think this is a good idea

This should have taken place when the bypass was completed.

Thorpe Willoughby is smallest in area of all the parishes in the old Selby District and yet the amount of development over the past few years has been significant. New development with a Thorpe Willoughby address should be within the Parish.

The

The bypass and railway line now clearly separate Thorpe Willoughby from Hambleton so it makes complete sense to separate the parishes along that boundary

Remove the parish council layer of local government. The cost is far too high, the benefit is next to non existent. There would be little to no difference if the parish council was removed. However the tax bill would be less for all residents.

This is a good time to undertake the review, since the bypass forms a natural boundary and has been in place now for several years.

No. I came to this review because I thought it might relate to the objections being raised by Hambleton parish council regarding new houses, and wanted to state that I do not object to more houses being built.

It has my full support and well done Thorpe Willoughby parish for trying to get this changed.

This should have been undertaken many years ago - it's a complete travesty that Hambleton Parish Council have been able to block this proposal because it's in their own financial interest.

This appears to be a logical re-alignment of parish boundaries which should benefit the majority of the local population.

Only that this absurd situation has gone on long enough whereby postal addresses of dwellings in Thorpe Willoughby do not go to Thorpe Willoughby Parish owing to outdated boundary lines.

Nothing further to add to my previous comments.

I support this proposal

No

Is there anything further you would like to add about this community governance review?

I LIVE ON THE NEW ESTATE AT THORPE MEADOWS AND WASN'T AWARE WE WERE NOT TECHNICALLY IN THE PARISH OF THORPE WILLOUGHBY. SEEMS SILLY BEING PART OF A VILLAGE THAT IS NO WHERE NEAR US. IT WOULD MAKE ME FEEL MORE PART OF THE VILLAGE IF THE BOUNDARY WAS CHANGED.

The present boundary's were drawn up in the 19 Century when Thorpe Willoughby was a small hamlet and since the 1950's the built up area goes right up to the boundary's since then the main North East Railway line and Selby By-Pass has separated the Parishes of Gateforth and Hambleton from Thorpe Willoughby and the time has come to review the boundary's. To the North of Thorpe Willoughby just over the Dam is Thorpe Hall and Thorpe wood this area should be in the Parish of Thorpe Willoughby. at present these areas are within the boundary of North Selby

These changes are long overdue and need implementing asap

Thorpe Willoughby parish council will receive precept payments from residents of Thorpe Willoughby to support the upkeep of the village, the area A and W will use Thorpe Willoughby's infrastructure, as such Thorpe Willoughby parish council should receive those precept payments .

Don't allow any more houses to be built without more infrastructure.

Common sense should prevail in this application as the areas are in Thorpe Willoughby village & therefore the parish boundaries should reflect this & I feel the proposed changes would achieve this.

I am interested to know about things in our local area and the TW News is a good way to find out

Money for these properties in areas A & B should go to pay towards village facilities

I think it's long over due and would be only positive for Thorpe Willoughby. Any additional funds received would hugely benefit this 'village'.

I would be willing to support this if some communication was provided to the properties that it impacts. It appears this has been applied for without consulting any of the residents. For example when they tried to make a change a number of years ago, we as residents were communicated with and we choose not to support the boundary move due to various reasons. I'm curious to understand further what has changed in that I may support this. Poor practice from the Parish Council.

Common sense has to prevail here. How can all these homes and houses being built in Thorpe Willoughby, where new owners only enter and exit the roads of Thorpe Willoughby, fall under another parish and then they benefit from these homes. People living in area A and B all access the village and can't exit it any other route. If you ask any home owner in these houses they will tell you they live in "Thorpe Willoughby" they never enter Hambleton or gateforth. Common sense is that Thorpe Willoughby boundaries are within the boundaries that run Ali goddess the bypass.

It's not reasonable for Hambleton and Gateforth to receive money for buildings that lie physically in Thorpe Willoughby - the infrastructure for which needs all the help it can get.

Good luck

No.

The village is rapidly expanding and the increasing demands upon village amenities need to be matched by the proposed increase in precept allowance for resident's benefit.

Consideration could be given to lands between Thorpe Willoughby and the East Coast Mainline railway. Future expansion here would become part of Thorpe Willoughby and not Hambleton

This amendment is long overdue and should have been done when the bypass was built!

A lot of historic decisions were made based on what existed at that time, many of those decisions are probably still sensible, but these parish boundaries make no sense at all with the by pass having been built.

I think it is a very reasonable and sensibly thought out suggestion that would reflect the modern way we live in our village and these areas are in reality part of 'us' now that we live within the boundary of the by pass

Love it.

Is there anything further you would like to add about this community governance review?

I absolutely reject the building of more houses in and around Thorpe Willoughby unless there is significant investment in existing facilities which are not fit for the current residents of the village. Schools are undersized, no health amenities, one single pub and very limited local shops. I welcome change and growth, but this needs to be proportionate with consideration for the needs and lifestyle of the existing homeowners.

If we are to have the houses built in our area they should be classed as been in Thorpe Willoughby which is what they are and any council funds should be in our Parish council and not either Gateforth or Hambleton .

Thanks for asking

Permission to build on these areas of land should also include the provision to update the local infrastructure and local amenities.

This exercise seems to be something that is unnecessary. Moving any income from one to the other without good reason and without agreement from both parties seems is very divisive. Why do Thorpe Willoughby feel they need to do this, what is the purpose, why do they wish to reduce Hambleton's income ? This will only create bad feelings between villages and this is not what the residents of either village want There are far far more important issues to focus on! The many planning applications from house builders who see the current political climate as a means to increase profits destroying our villages .The proposed solar farm that will destroy our countryside. I am very disappointed as a new resident in Hambleton to see such a review that will only damage the communities and cause unnecessary disruption and possible resentment. This is not what matters most to the communities concerned , the excessive house building and solar farms are what the communities are most concerned about. I would suggest the out of control North Yorkshire planning dept is more relevant as a concern to most people in the villages

These areas are Thorpe and needed to be treated as such, we struggle as a community to support the extra people and the increased village size they should therefore be recognised as being part of Thorpe W

The irregularities of the Thorpe / Hambleton boundary have been raised a number of times but have been resisted by Hambleton PC who have benefited from the precept without providing any resources or contributing to the improvement that Thorpe PC have made. It is time this was corrected.

Don't believe its necessary. Better things to spend money on. A parish shouldn't be able to simply request to move a boundary and gain land/money from another. If this goes through, lots of costs for NYC and PCs as other parishes could start to do this and cause all kinds of issues. Money the PCs would rather spend on events/facilities in their parish. Seems unfair that one can just request this change. Who has instigated this? Do the residents in this affected area lose out currently and thus gain services by being in TW? Don't think so, seems they will lose out. Shold someone else's request for this change be allowed to affect other families if they are not affected themselves?

This review should not be taking place. It is a blatant attempt by Thorpe Willoughby to take over land belonging to Hambleton Parish to obtain the precept income from any future housing development.

Hambleton precept is lower now and it would then get less with fewer properties.

The Precept should be used to support the work of the Thorpe Willoughby Parish Council.

No

This is an unnecessary review and will be costly to NYC and Hambleton PC to no gain to either residents. Approving this will open the doors to other PCs who just wish to land grab - what is to stop TW wanting to request further land from their neighbours?

There is no logical reason to change the current boundary. Thorpe Willoughby Parish just want to benefit from an increased precept income. Pure greed.

It would appear that Thorpe Willoughby is trying once again to grab more land and properties, as they will gain more funding and council tax benefits. This proposal has been declined before.

No

Is there anything further you would like to add about this community governance review?

This organisation does NOT support a boundary change. A new road (Selby by-pass) should not dictate a parish boundary; the road was not something HPC had control over nor did Hambleton village benefit from it, and TWPC claiming the land up to the by-pass would mean Hambleton loses out again. The reasons for requesting the change seem primarily financial, and this organisation questions why TWPC should be more entitled to any CIL/S106 than HPC. North Yorkshire Council would be providing the shared services (highways/doctors/schools) for future residents, not TWPC, and facilities such as parks and woodland will continue to be provided by both PCs and shared by villagers from both villages. HPC has additional responsibilities in that it manages the burial ground in Hambleton and also maintains its own recreation fields. This boundary change would see HPC lose out on existing precept income because the number of homes in the parish would be reduced. Concurrently, those residents who were redesignated as TW parish would see significant unfavourable changes, with approximately 50% rise in precept and compliance with Smoke Control Area regulations. Leaving the boundary as it is however, means nothing changes for Hambleton residents, no requirements to make expensive changes to their heating systems, no increased rates; and at the same time, nothing changes for the Thorpe Willoughby residents either, there is no negative effect by leaving the boundary as it is and as it has been.

I do not support this change in any way and only benefits one parish council

Hambleton residents pay lower precept rates and are eligible to be buried in the Hambleton Cemetery - if the boundary changes, those affected will lose those benefits of being a Hambleton resident. Affected properties would be in a Smoke Control Area and a number of residents heat their properties with woodburning/multifuel burners. It is felt that the only reason a change was requested is so the potential precept income from housing developments in the 2 areas would go to Thorpe Willoughby instead of Hambleton or Gateforth. Hambleton would lose precept income because the number of households in the parish would be reduced. A new road should not dictate a parish boundary. The by-pass proposed as the new boundary line, which has been in place for over 20 years, was not something that Hambleton had control over or benefitted from; Hambleton would lose out again.

Thorpe Willoughby Parish Council are unanimous in supporting the proposed change to the boundary (A & B). We welcome the change and feel that it can't come fast enough in light of all of the new houses that have been approved to be built.

See attached letter

Councillors involved should have no conflict of interest - if they have they should be excluded from the decision making process.

There has been a review once which concluded that the boundaries should remain. All this new review will cost money.

I understand this "change of boundary" has been attempted in previous years and been rejected. I cannot see any reason why it should be moved at this time.